2023 Community Innovation Fund Rubric This rubric will serve as a starting point for the Review Team to discuss and compare Applications. Awarded Projects are not simply the highest scoring Applications.

Points	Clarity of Goals and Activities	Timeline	Use of Funds	Is there a 20% match?	Equity and Accessibility Components	Essential Collaborations Secured	Project Longevity	Waste Hierarchy	Innovation
1		Timeline is unclear or unreasonable	Use of funds are unclear or unreasonable	No	Does not consider equity or accessibility	No	Unclear if project success will be sustained after this funding cycle	None / Unclear if there is an impact along the waste hierarchy at all	ls not creative or original
2	Goal(s)/results(s) and key activities are only vaguely described	Timeline is vague, but could work with some feedback	Use of funds are vague, but could work with some feedback		Descriptors are vague and there is little direct connection to equity or accessibility	No, but they have some ideas to secure commitments for collaborations	Longevity after funding is vaguely described	Garbage (e.g., litter clean up)	Is a little creative: replicates other services in the community
	Goal(s)/results(s) and key activities are sufficient, but not impressive	Timeline is sufficient	Use of funds are sufficient	Not articulated, but they are close to 20% and could potentially get there	Makes a connection to equity and/or accessibility, but project impact is unclear	No, but they have a good plan to secure commitments for collaborations that seem reasonable based on project goal (s)/result(s) and activities.	Ideas for longevity after this funding cycle exist, but may not be feasible	Recycle / Compost	ls somewhat creative; similar to other services
	Goal(s)/results(s) and key activities are well defined	Timeline is great, but may not be fully detailed	Use of funds are great, but may not be fully detailed		Clearly describes project components and impacts related to equity and/or accessibility	Yes, the collaborations seem reasonable based on project goal (s)/result(s) and activities.	Has a reasonable plan for longevity after funding	Reuse / Repair	ls creative and somewhat unique or builds on existing services
5	Goal(s)/results(s) are very well defined and very clearly relate to key activities	Timeline is excellent and well thought out	Use of funds are excellent and the budget is well thought out	Yes	Equity and/or accessibility components are central to the project and impacts are significant	Yes, the collaborations are well established and align well with project goal(s)/result(s) and activities.	Has a detailed plan for longevity after funding	Reduce	Daaaang! Why didn't I think of that?!